

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,495		04/06/2001	Leticia Delgado-Herrera	6688.US.01	5748
23492	7590	02/17/2004		EXAM	INER
	V F. WEIN		CRIARES, THEODORE J		
	ABBOTT LABORATORIES 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD				PAPER NUMBER
DEPT. 37	7/AP6A		1617		
ABBOTT	PARK, II	. 60064-6008	DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
	09/827,495	DELGADO-HERRERA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Theodore J. Criares	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>21 November 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
· ···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-13 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	iminer.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	»—···	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

CLAIMS 9-13 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

In response to applicant's argument filed November 21, 2003 that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the conditions of a patient in an ICU) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

It would have been obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) to treat a patient suffering from hypoclacemia to administer 1α, 25-dihydroxy-19-nor ergocalciferol in the amounts and over a period of from 1-4 weeks as set forth in the previous office action. The deficiencies in the serum levels of a patient in an ICU unit and one that is only suffering from hypocalcemia, if that be the facts, are neither set forth in the specification nor claimed claimed.

Applicants' arguments relative to the table presented in the Remarks filed

November 21, 2003 are not persuasive since the claims fail to define the patient to be
treated in an ICU differs from a patient that suffers from an individual with
hypocalcemia. A hypocalcemic patient, when the symptoms are critical (the
maldistribution of claim 13), would seek assistance in a hospital as set forth by applicant
at page 2, lines 1-10. That applicants have determined the degree of hypocalcemia in
said patients is unpatentable in view of the cited references.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 are withdrawn in view of the applicants' amendments to the claims.

The previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is (571) 272-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Theodore J. Criares Primary Examiner

TJC 2/11/04